UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

| IN RE: PARAGARD PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION | : MDL <u>DOCKET NO. 2974</u> : |
|---|---|
| This document relates to: | : 1:20-md-02974-LMM |
| ZOE PEARSON | : : |
| VS. | : Civil Action No.: |
| TEVA PHARMACEUTICAL PRODUCTS USA INC ET AL. | : : : |
| | : : |
| SHORT FORM | <u> A COMPLAINT</u> |
| Come(s) now the Plaintiff(s) name | med below, and for her/their Complaint |
| against the Defendant(s) named below, in | ncorporate(s) the Second Amended Master |
| Personal Injury Complaint (Doc. No. | 79), in MDL No. 2974 by reference. |
| Plaintiff(s) further plead(s) as follows: | |
| 1. Name of Plaintiff placed with | th Paragard: |
| Zoe Pearson | |
| Name of Plaintiff's Spouse (Nicholas Crane | (if a party to the case): |

| | If case is brought in a representative capacity, Name of Other Plaintiff |
|---|---|
| | and capacity (i.e., administrator, executor, guardian, conservator): N/A |
| | |
| 1 | State of Residence of each Plaintiff (including any Plaintiff in a representative capacity) at time of filing of Plaintiff's original complaint: Wyoming |
| | State of Residence of each Plaintiff at the time of Paragard placement: Ohio |
| | State of Residence of each Plaintiff at the time of Paragard removal: Colorado |
| | District Court and Division in which personal jurisdiction and venue would be proper: The United States District Court for the District of Wyoming |
| | Defendants. (Check one or more of the following five (5) Defendants against whom Plaintiff's Complaint is made. The following five (5) |
| | Defendants are the only defendants against whom a Short Form |
| | Complaint may be filed. No other entity may be added as a defendant |
| | in a Short Form Complaint.): |

| x | A. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc. |
|-----|---|
| X | B. Teva Women's Health, LLC |
| x | C. Teva Branded Pharmaceutical Products R&D, Inc. |
| X | D. The Cooper Companies, Inc. |
| × | E. CooperSurgical, Inc. |
| | |
| 9. | Basis of Jurisdiction |
| X | Diversity of Citizenship (28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)) |
| | Other (if Other, identify below): |
| | |
| 10. | |

| Date(s) Plaintiff | Placing | Date Plaintiff's | Removal |
|----------------------------|--|--|--|
| had Paragard | Physician(s) or | Paragard was Removed | Physician(s) or other |
| placed | other Health Care | (DD/MM/YYYY)* | Health Care Provider |
| (DD/MM/YYYY) | Provider (include City and State) | *If multiple removal(s) or attempted removal procedures, list date of each separately. | (include City and State)** **If multiple removal(s) or attempted removal procedures, list information separately. |
| Date Unknown March 2010 | The Ohio State University Wexner Medical Center410 W. 10th Ave., Columbus, OH 43210 | 2017 | The Women's Clinic of Northern Colorado1107 S. Lemay Ave., # 300, Fort Collins, CO 80524 |
| | | Date unknown | Surgical removal |

| 11. | Plaintiff alleges breakage (other than thread or string breakage) of her |
|-----|---|
| | Paragard upon removal. |
| x | Yes |
| | No |
| 12. | Brief statement of injury(ies) Plaintiff is claiming: As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer significant bodily and mental injuries, including but not limited to pain and suffering, mental anguish, disfigurement, embarrassment, inconvenience, loss of earnings, loss of reproductive health and past and potential future medical expenses. |
| | Plaintiff reserves her right to allege additional injuries and |
| | complications specific to her. |
| 13. | Product Identification: a. Lot Number of Paragard placed in Plaintiff (if now known): <u>Unknown.</u> b. Did you obtain your Paragard from anyone other than the HealthCare Provider who placed your Paragard: Yes No |
| 14. | Counts in the Master Complaint brought by Plaintiff(s): |
| X | Count I – Strict Liability / Design Defect |
| X | Count II – Strict Liability / Failure to Warn |
| X | Count III – Strict Liability / Manufacturing Defect |
| X | Count IV – Negligence |
| x | Count V – Negligence / Design and Manufacturing Defect |
| x | Count VI – Negligence / Failure to Warn |

| X | Cou | nt IX – Negligent Misrepresentation |
|-------|--------|--|
| X | Cou | nt X – Breach of Express Warranty |
| X | Cou | nt XI – Breach of Implied Warranty |
| × | Cou | nt XII – Violation of Consumer Protection Laws |
| X | Cou | nt XIII – Gross Negligence |
| x | Cou | nt XIV – Unjust Enrichment |
| x | Cou | nt XV – Punitive Damages |
| | Cou | nt XVI – Loss of Consortium |
| | Oth | er Count(s) (Please state factual and legal basis for other claims |
| not i | nclude | ed in the Master Complaint below): |
| | | |
| | | |
| 15. | "To | lling/Fraudulent Concealment" allegations: |
| | a. | Is Plaintiff alleging "Tolling/Fraudulent Concealment"? |
| | X | Yes |
| | | No |
| | b. | If Plaintiff is alleging "tolling/fraudulent concealment" beyond |
| | | the facts alleged in the Master Complaint, please state the facts |
| | | and legal basis applicable to the Plaintiff in support of those |
| | | allegations below: |

Prior to having the ParaGard IUD implanted, Plaintiff's healthcare providers told her that ParaGard IUD was safe, effective, and could be removed in-office with a simple procedure. She did not realize that she might have a cause of action reagarding the ParaGard IUD. She did not know there was an issue with the ParaGard IUD. She contacted her lawyers after learning she might have a claim

| 16. | Coun | t VII (Fraud & Deceit) and Count VIII (Fraud by Omission) |
|-----|--------|--|
| | allega | ations: |
| | a. | Is Plaintiff is bringing a claim under Count VII (Fraud & |
| | | Deceit), Count VIII (Fraud by Omission), and/or any other claim |
| | | for fraud or misrepresentation? |
| | x | Yes |
| | | No |
| | b. | If Yes, the following information must be provided (in |
| | | accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8 and/or 9, |
| | | and/or with pleading requirements applicable to Plaintiff's state |
| | | law claims): |
| | i. | The alleged statement(s) of material fact that Plaintiff alleges was false: Paragard, a reversible form of birth control, was safe and effective. Paragard |
| | | was safe and/or safer than other reversible birth control products on the market. |
| | ii. | Who allegedly made the statement: Defendants |
| | iii. | To whom the statement was allegedly made: Plaintiff and her healthcare provider who implanted Paragard. |
| | iv. | The date(s) on which the statement was allegedly made: Defendants' statements are within the Paragard label and marketing materials at all relevant times prior to implantation |
| 17. | If Pla | intiff is bringing any claim for manufacturing defect and alleging |
| | facts | beyond those contained in the Master Complaint, the following |
| | inforr | nation must be provided: |
| | a. | What does Plaintiff allege is the manufacturing defect in her Paragard?N/A |

| urv i jemana: | |
|------------------------|------------------------|
| fury Demand: | |
| Tury Trial is demanded | d as to all counts |
| fury Trial is NOT dem | nanded as to any count |
| | |
| | |
| | |

Address, phone number, email address and Bar information:

Nicole Berg (IL Bar #6305464)

Ashley Barriere (LA Bar # 38129)

ncb@kellerpostman.com

ashley.barriere@kellerpostman.com

Keller Postman LLC 150 N. Riverside Plaza, Suite 4100 Chicago, Illinois 60606 (312) 741-5220

/s/ Laura V. Yaeger Yaeger Law, PLLC P.O Box 530338 Saint Petersburg, FL 33747 (727) 202-5015 laura@yourlegalcounsel.net FL Bar # 101972 TX Bar # 24011432